

# Algebraic Topology

## The Fundamental Group

### Homotopy

Given two maps  $f_1, f_2 : X \rightarrow Y$ , a *homotopy* from  $f_1$  to  $f_2$  is a map  $F : [0, 1] \times X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $F(0, x) = f_1(x)$  and  $F(1, x) = f_2(x)$ . If there is a homotopy from  $f_1$  to  $f_2$  then we say that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are *homotopic* and we write  $f_1 \sim f_2$ . Homotopy is an equivalence relation, although to prove transitivity we need the following lemma:

### The ‘Gluing Lemma’

If a space  $X$  is a union of two closed subsets  $A$  and  $B$  then for any two continuous maps  $f : A \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : B \rightarrow Y$  which agree on the intersection  $A \cap B$  we get a continuous map  $f \cup g : X \rightarrow Y$ .

This is easy to prove. The set of *homotopy classes* of maps  $X \rightarrow Y$  is written  $[X, Y]$ .

### Relative homotopy

Given two maps  $f_1, f_2 : X \rightarrow Y$  which agree on a subset  $A \subset X$ , we say that they are homotopic *relative to*  $A$  if there is a map  $F : [0, 1] \times X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $F(0, x) = f_1(x)$ ,  $F(1, x) = f_2(x)$  and  $F(x, t) = f_0(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ .

### The fundamental group

The *fundamental group* of  $X$  based at  $x$ , written  $\pi_1(X, x)$ , is the group of homotopy classes relative to  $\{0, 1\}$  of loops in  $X$  based at  $x$ , with the natural multiplication given by composition of loops. It is easy to show that the multiplication is well-defined and satisfies the group axioms.

Note that for loops  $p, q$ , we write  $pq$  to mean the loop which goes round  $p$  first and then  $q$ .

### Induced homomorphisms of fundamental groups

If we have a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  then we get an induced homomorphism  $f_* : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y, f(x))$  between the fundamental groups of  $X$  and  $Y$ , by mapping a loop  $p$  in  $X$  to the loop  $fp$  in  $Y$ . It is easy to check that this is a group homomorphism.

Also, if we have the identity map  $1_X : X \rightarrow X$  then  $(1_X)_*$  is the identity homomorphism, and for any two maps  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  then we have  $(g \circ f)_* = g_* \circ f_*$ . Thus if  $f$  is a homeomorphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  then the induced homomorphism  $f_*$  is an isomorphism, and hence the fundamental group is a topological invariant.

If two maps  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  are homotopic relative to  $\{x\}$  then the induced homomorphisms  $f_*$  and  $g_*$  are the same, since the homotopy  $F$  from  $f$  to  $g$  determines a homotopy  $F(\alpha(s), t)$  from  $f(\alpha)$  to  $g(\alpha)$  for any loop  $\alpha$  in  $X$  based at  $x$ . This is a special case of a result below.

### Change of base point

If  $x, y \in X$ , then  $\pi_1(X, x)$  and  $\pi_1(X, y)$  are unrelated if  $x$  and  $y$  are in different path-components, but isomorphic if they are in the same path component. For any path  $\alpha$  from  $x$  to  $y$  we get a

group isomorphism  $\alpha_* : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, y)$ . Note that in general different paths  $\alpha$  may lead to different isomorphisms.

### Contractible spaces

A non-empty space  $X$  is said to be *simply connected* if it is path-connected and has trivial fundamental group.  $X$  is said to be *contractible* if the identity map  $X \rightarrow X$  is homotopic to a constant map. For example, any convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is contractible.

A contractible space is simply connected. Note that the converse is not true —  $S^2$  is simply connected but not contractible.

To prove this, we need to show that any loop in  $X$  is homotopic, relative to  $\{0, 1\}$ , to the constant loop. Observe that the homotopy  $F$  from the identity on  $X$  to the constant map at  $x \in X$  gives us a homotopy  $G(s, t) = F(\alpha(s), t)$  from any loop  $\alpha$  based at  $x$  to the constant loop at  $x$  — but this homotopy is not necessarily relative to  $\{0, 1\}$ .

To get around this difficulty we use the fact that the square  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  is convex, so there is a straight line homotopy  $H$  between any two paths from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ , and so any two such paths are homotopic relative to  $\{0, 1\}$ . Using this, we see that the path along the left edge of the square is homotopic, relative to  $\{0, 1\}$ , to the path along the bottom edge, up the right edge and back along the top edge of the square. Composing the homotopies  $H$  and  $G$  gives us the result.

### Homotopy equivalence

Two spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  are *homotopy equivalent* if there is a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and a map  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ g \sim 1_Y$  and  $g \circ f \sim 1_X$ . Such an  $f$  is called a *homotopy equivalence*. We also say that  $X$  and  $Y$  have the same *homotopy type*, and we write  $X \simeq Y$ . The relation  $X \simeq Y$  is an equivalence relation.

Any homeomorphism is clearly a homotopy equivalence. It is also very easy to prove that a space is contractible if and only if it is homotopy equivalent to a point.

Two homotopy equivalent spaces have the same number of path-components. Furthermore, two homotopy equivalent spaces have isomorphic fundamental groups.

To prove this, we first show that if  $f$  and  $g$  are homotopic maps from  $X$  to  $Y$  and  $x \in X$ , then  $g_* = \alpha_* \circ f_*$  as maps from  $\pi_1(X, x)$  to  $\pi_1(Y, g(x))$ , where  $\alpha$  is the path in  $Y$  from  $f(x)$  to  $g(x)$  given by the homotopy  $F$  between  $f$  and  $g$ . This follows by using the homotopy  $F$  to show that for any loop  $\alpha$  in  $X$  based at  $x$ , the loops  $g_*(\alpha)$  and  $(\alpha_* \circ f_*)(\alpha)$  in  $Y$  are homotopic.

It then follows that the map  $f_*$  is an isomorphism of fundamental groups if and only if  $g_*$  is. Therefore, if  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence with homotopy inverse  $h$ , then  $f \circ h \simeq 1_X$  and so  $(f \circ h)_* = f_* \circ h_*$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $f_*$  is injective. Working the other way we see that  $f_*$  is surjective. Hence  $f_*$  gives an isomorphism between the fundamental groups of  $X$  and  $Y$ .

### The fundamental group of the $n$ -sphere

If  $X$  is a space which can be written as the union of two simply connected open subsets  $A$  and  $B$  in such a way that  $A \cap B$  is path-connected, then  $X$  is simply connected. To prove this we show that every loop in  $X$  starting at a point  $p$  in  $A \cap B$  is homotopic to a (finite) product of loops, each of which is either in  $A$  or in  $B$ .

Using this result, we see that the fundamental group of  $S^n$  is trivial for  $n \geq 2$ .

# Covering Spaces

## Fundamental group of the circle

To determine the fundamental group of the circle we first define the map  $\pi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1$  by  $\pi(x) = e^{2\pi ix}$ .  $\pi$  is a covering map as defined below. We then apply the path-lifting and homotopy-lifting lemmas related to this covering map.

We define a homomorphism  $\sigma : \pi_1(S^1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  as follows. Let  $\alpha$  be a loop in  $S^1$  based at 1. This lifts uniquely to a path in  $\mathbb{R}$  starting at 0, whose endpoint must be an integer, and we define  $\sigma(\alpha)$  to be this value.

To show that  $\sigma$  gives a well-defined map on  $\pi_1(S^1, 1)$  we use the homotopy-lifting property. For if  $\beta \sim \alpha$  is another loop in  $S^1$  based at 1 then the homotopy from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$  gives us a homotopy from the lift of  $\alpha$  to the lift of  $\beta$ , and we then see that  $\sigma(\alpha) = \sigma(\beta)$ .

It is easy to see that  $\sigma$  is a homomorphism. Furthermore,  $\sigma$  is injective, for if  $\sigma(\alpha) = 0$  then there is a straight line homotopy, relative to  $\{0, 1\}$ , from the lift of  $\alpha$  to the constant loop at 0 in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and this projects to show that  $\alpha$  is homotopic to the constant loop in  $\pi_1(S^1, 1)$ . Finally,  $\sigma$  is surjective, for the loop  $s \mapsto e^{2\pi ins}$  in  $S^1$  lifts to a path in  $\mathbb{R}$  from 0 to  $n$ .

Therefore we have shown that the fundamental group of the circle is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

## The fundamental theorem of algebra

Let  $f$  be a polynomial of degree  $n \geq 1$ , and suppose that  $f$  has no roots in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $f$  is a continuous map  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $S^1$  are homotopy equivalent, they have isomorphic fundamental groups. In particular, loops in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  have a well-defined winding number, which is invariant under homotopy of loops (even if you change the base point).

Now let  $C_r$  be the circle of radius  $r$  around 0 in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and consider the image of  $C_r$  under  $f$  as  $r$  varies. These circles are obviously all homotopic, and a homotopy from  $C_{r_1}$  to  $C_{r_2}$  gives a homotopy from  $f \circ C_{r_1}$  to  $f \circ C_{r_2}$ . Now the circle  $C_0$  clearly projects to a single point, with winding number 0, but for sufficiently large  $R$  the image  $f \circ C_R$  is homotopic to  $(a_n x^n) \circ C_R$ , which has winding number  $n$ . This contradicts the homotopy invariance of the winding number.

## Covering maps and covering spaces

A *covering map*  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map such that every  $y \in Y$  has an open neighbourhood  $U$  such that  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  is a disjoint union of open subsets  $U_\alpha$  such that the restriction of  $\pi$  to each set  $U_\alpha$  is a homeomorphism from  $U_\alpha$  to  $U$ . We then say that  $X$  is a *covering space* of  $Y$ .

### The path-lifting property

For any covering space  $X \rightarrow Y$  and any point  $x \in X$ , any path in  $Y$  starting at  $\pi(x)$  lifts to a unique path in  $X$  starting at  $x$ .

The path-lifting property is a special case of the homotopy-lifting property below, where the space  $A$  is a point.

### The homotopy-lifting property

For any covering space  $X \rightarrow Y$ , any other space  $A$  and any continuous map  $f' : A \rightarrow X$ , any homotopy from  $f = \pi f' : A \rightarrow Y$  to some other map  $g : A \rightarrow Y$  lifts uniquely to a homotopy from  $f'$  to some other map  $g' : A \rightarrow X$ .

## Computing fundamental groups using covering spaces

For any covering space  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  and any point  $x \in X$ , the induced group homomorphism  $\pi_* : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y, \pi(x))$  is injective. This is proved using the homotopy-lifting property.

If we have a covering space  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ , then  $\pi_1(Y, y)$  acts naturally on the fibre  $\pi^{-1}(y)$ . Furthermore, if  $X$  is path-connected then this action is transitive. In this case, the stabilizer of a point  $x \in \pi^{-1}(y)$  is precisely the fundamental group  $\pi_1(X, x)$  of  $X$ , and we have a bijection from the set of cosets of  $\pi_1(X, x)$  in  $\pi_1(Y, y)$  to the fibre  $\pi^{-1}(y)$  given by

$$\pi_1(X, x) \sigma \longmapsto x\sigma.$$

Therefore we can compute the order of the fundamental group of  $Y$  if we know the order of the fundamental group of  $X$ . To determine the structure we need the following theorem:

Let  $X$  be a simply connected space. Let  $G$  be a group of homeomorphisms which acts freely on  $X$  in the sense that every point  $x \in X$  has an open neighbourhood  $U$  such that  $U \cap g(U) = \emptyset$  for all  $g \neq 1 \in G$ . Let  $Y = X/G$  be the space of orbits. Then the map  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a covering map and the fundamental group of  $Y$  at any base point is isomorphic to  $G$ .

## Fundamental group of the torus

The  $n$ -torus  $(S^1)^n$  has fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . We can prove this in two ways. Firstly, we can use the fact that

$$\pi_1(X \times Y, (x, y)) \cong \pi_1(X, x) \times \pi_1(Y, y).$$

Alternatively, we observe that  $(S^1)^n$  is the quotient of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by the free action of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  acting by translation, and that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is simply connected, so the result follows by the theorem above.

## Fundamental group of real projective space

The real projective space  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  can be thought of as the quotient of  $S^n$  by the free action of the group  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ , mapping each point in  $S^n$  to its antipode. Therefore, if  $n = 0$  then  $\mathbb{R}P^0$  consists of just one point, and hence is simply connected. If  $n = 1$  then  $\mathbb{R}P^1$  is isomorphic to  $S^1$  and so has fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $n \geq 2$  then  $S^n$  is simply connected, and so the fundamental group of  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  is  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ .

## The universal covering

A space is *locally contractible* if every point has an open neighbourhood which is contractible. Also, we say that two covering spaces  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  of the same space  $Y$  are *isomorphic* if there is a homeomorphism from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$  such that the composition  $X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow Y$  is the map  $X_1 \rightarrow Y$ . Then we have the following theorem:

Every connected, locally contractible space  $Y$  has a unique simply connected covering space  $X$ , called the *universal covering* of  $Y$ . Moreover, the fundamental group of  $Y$  acts freely on  $X$ , with  $Y = X/\pi_1(Y, y)$ .

Therefore, the fundamental group of any reasonable space  $Y$  may be computed by finding a simply connected covering space of  $Y$  and applying this theorem. Finally, the following theorem classifies the connected covering spaces of a space  $Y$ .

Let  $Y$  be a connected, locally contractible space. Then there is a bijection between isomorphism classes of connected covering spaces of  $Y$  and conjugacy classes of subgroups  $H$  of the group  $G = \pi_1(Y, y)$ . The correspondence is defined by viewing  $Y$  as  $X/G$ , where  $X$  is the universal cover of  $Y$ , and, for each subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , forming the new covering space  $X/H$  of  $Y$ .

# Simplicial Complexes

## Simplices and simplicial complexes

We say that  $n + 1$  points in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  are in *general position* if the smallest affine-linear subspace containing them has dimension  $n$ . An  $n$ -*simplex*  $\Delta$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is the convex hull of any  $n + 1$  points  $x_0, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^N$  in general position, that is

$$\Delta = \{a_0x_0 + \dots + a_nx_n \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R}, a_i \geq 0, \sum a_i = 1\}.$$

We call  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  the *vertices* of the simplex. A *face* of the simplex is the convex hull of a non-empty subset of the vertices.

A *simplicial complex* in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is a finite collection of simplices in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , such that whenever a simplex belongs to the collection then so do all of its faces, and whenever any two simplices in the collection have a non-empty intersection, their intersection is a face of both simplices.

If  $X$  is a simplicial complex we write  $|X|$  to mean the *geometric realisation* of  $X$ , that is the topological space which is the union of all the simplices in  $X$ .  $|X|$  is always a compact, metrizable space.

## Triangulations

For a topological space  $A$ , a *triangulation* of  $A$  is a simplicial complex  $X$  together with a homeomorphism from  $A$  to  $|X|$ . We say that  $A$  is *triangulable* if it has some triangulation.

## Barycentric subdivision

We define the *barycentre* of the simplex  $[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  to be

$$\frac{1}{n+1}(x_0 + \dots + x_n).$$

Starting with a simplex  $\Delta$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  we obtain a simplicial complex  $\Delta^1$  by the following process, called *barycentric subdivision*. First we add a vertex  $\hat{A}$  at the barycentre of each face  $A$  of  $\Delta$ . Then we have a simplex through each set of vertices  $\hat{A}_0, \dots, \hat{A}_k$  if and only if after some reordering of these vertices we have

$$A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \dots \subset A_k.$$

The *barycentric subdivision* of a simplicial complex  $X$  is defined to be the complex obtained by the barycentric subdivision of all the simplices in  $X$ . Clearly the geometric realisation of the barycentric subdivision of  $X$  is the same as that of  $X$ .

The *mesh* of a simplicial complex in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is the maximum of the diameters of its simplices. For any simplicial complex  $X$ , the mesh of the barycentric subdivision  $X^1$  is at most  $n/(n+1)$  times the mesh of  $X$ . Thus by repeatedly subdividing any simplicial complex we may make its mesh arbitrarily small.

## Simplicial maps and simplicial approximations

For simplicial complexes  $X$  and  $Y$ , A *simplicial map*  $s : X \rightarrow Y$  is a function from  $|X|$  to  $|Y|$  which takes simplices of  $X$  linearly onto simplices of  $Y$ . Any simplicial map is continuous (by the gluing lemma) and is completely determined by the images of the vertices in  $X$ , which are vertices in  $Y$ .

Each point  $x \in |X|$  lies in the interior of a unique simplex in  $X$ , called the *carrier* of  $x$ . A simplicial map  $s : X \rightarrow Y$  is a *simplicial approximation* of a continuous map  $f : |X| \rightarrow |Y|$  if  $s(x)$  lies in the carrier of  $f(x)$  for each point  $x \in |X|$ . Note that if  $s$  is a simplicial approximation for  $f$  then  $s$  and  $f$  are homotopic, by a straight line homotopy.

### The simplicial approximation theorem

For any continuous map  $f : |X| \rightarrow |Y|$  there is an  $m \geq 0$  such that there exists a simplicial approximation  $s : X^m \rightarrow Y$  of  $f$ .

#### Proof

Let  $x$  be a vertex in  $X$ . Define the *open star* of  $x$  to be the union of the interiors of all the simplices in  $X$  which contain  $x$ . It is easy to prove that vertices  $x_0, \dots, x_k$  of  $X$  span a simplex if and only if the intersection of their open stars is non-empty.

First we prove the theorem in a special case. Suppose that for every vertex  $x$  in  $X$  there exists a vertex  $y$  in  $Y$  such that the open star of  $x$  is mapped by  $f$  into the open star of  $y$ . Then for every vertex  $x$  in  $X$ , choose such a  $y$  and define  $s(x) = y$ . By the above observation it follows that for any simplex  $[x_0, \dots, x_k]$  in  $X$ , the images  $s(x_0), \dots, s(x_k)$  span a simplex in  $Y$ , and hence we can extend our definition of  $s$  linearly over  $X$  to get a simplicial map  $X \rightarrow Y$ .

Now suppose that  $x \in |X|$ . We need to show that  $s(x)$  lies in the carrier of  $f(x)$ . Let  $[x_0, \dots, x_k]$  be the carrier of  $x$ . Then  $x$  is in the intersection of the open stars of the  $x_i$  and so, by our assumption,  $f(x)$  is in the intersection of the open stars of the  $s(x_i)$ . Therefore  $s(x_0), \dots, s(x_k)$  span a face of the carrier of  $f(x)$ , so the carrier of  $f(x)$  contains each of the  $s(x_i)$ , and so it contains  $s(x)$ . Thus  $s$  is a simplicial approximation to  $f$ .

Now let  $f$  be an arbitrary map from  $X$  to  $Y$ . We shall show that for some  $m \geq 0$ ,  $X^m$  satisfies the above condition. Now  $|Y|$  is the union of the open stars  $U_y$  of its vertices, so the sets  $f^{-1}(U_y)$  form an open cover of  $|X|$ . Since  $X$  is a compact metric space, by Lebesgue's lemma there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that any open subset of  $|X|$  of diameter less than  $\epsilon$  is contained in one of the sets  $f^{-1}(U_y)$ . But for  $m$  sufficiently large,  $X^m$  has mesh less than  $\epsilon$  — and so  $X^m$  satisfies the required condition.

# Simplicial Homology

## Orientations

Let  $\Delta$  be an  $n$ -simplex with vertices  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}$ . If  $n \geq 0$  then an *orientation* of  $\Delta$  is an equivalence class of orderings of the  $x_i$ , where the orderings  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}$  and  $x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n+1)}$  are equivalent if and only if  $\sigma \in A_{n+1}$ . If  $n = 0$  then an orientation of  $\Delta$  is either 1 or  $-1$ .

An *oriented simplex*  $\sigma$  is a simplex together with a choice of orientation. We write  $-\sigma$  to mean the simplex with the opposite choice of orientation. We shall write  $[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  to mean the oriented simplex with the orientation corresponding to the given ordering of the vertices.

An oriented simplex induces an orientation on each of its codimension-one faces in the following manner:

- if  $i$  is even, the induced orientation of  $[x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n]$  is the one corresponding to this ordering of the vertices, and
- if  $i$  is odd, the induced orientation is the opposite to the one corresponding to this ordering.

We can check that this is well-defined.

## Chains and boundaries

Let  $X$  be a simplicial complex. We define the group  $C_k(X)$  of  $k$ -chains on  $X$  to be the free abelian group generated by the oriented  $k$ -simplices in  $X$ , modulo the relation that  $(-1)\sigma = -\sigma$  for any oriented  $k$ -simplex  $\sigma$ .

For any oriented  $k$ -simplex  $\sigma$  in  $X$ , we define the *boundary*  $\partial\sigma$  of  $\sigma$  to be the sum of the codimension-one faces of  $\sigma$ , with the orientations induced from  $\sigma$ . So  $\partial\sigma \in C_{k-1}(X)$ . We can extend this definition to get a group homomorphism  $\partial : C_k(X) \rightarrow C_{k-1}(X)$  in the obvious manner.

So we get the sequence

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{k+1}(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} C_k(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{k-1}(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots$$

In fact, the composition of any two of the consecutive homomorphisms is the zero homomorphism, or in other words,  $\partial^2 = 0$ . It suffices to prove that  $\partial^2\sigma = 0$  for any oriented  $(k+1)$ -simplex  $\sigma$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^2[x_0, \dots, x_{k+1}] &= \partial \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^i [x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{k+1}] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^j [x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{k+1}] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^i \sum_{j=i+1}^{k+1} (-1)^{j-1} [x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_{k+1}], \end{aligned}$$

where the terms in the final expression cancel in pairs.

## Homology groups

Define the subgroup  $Z_k(X) \leq C_k(X)$  of  $k$ -chains in  $X$  to be the kernel of  $\partial$ , and define the subgroup  $B_k(X) \leq C_k(X)$  of  $k$ -boundaries to be the image of  $\partial$ . Then since  $\partial^2 = 0$ ,  $B_k(X)$  is contained within  $Z_k(X)$  and so we may define the  $k$ th homology group of  $X$  as the quotient

$$H_k(X) = Z_k(X)/B_k(X).$$

It is easy to see that  $H_0(X)$  is a free abelian group whose rank is the number of connected components of  $X$ .

## Homology groups of an $n$ -simplex

If  $X$  is a point, then by considering the groups of  $k$ -chains on  $X$  we see immediately that  $H_0(X) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H_i(X) = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$ .

To compute the homology groups of an  $n$ -simplex for  $n \geq 2$  we may use the following device. If  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a simplicial complex, define the *cone* on  $X$  to be the simplicial complex  $CX$  formed by embedding  $\mathbb{R}^N$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ , choosing a point  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \setminus \mathbb{R}^N$  and then taking the union of all the line segments from  $v$  to each of the points in  $X$ . Then we see that  $CX$  is a simplicial complex, whose simplices are those in  $X$ , those formed as the convex hull of  $v$  and a simplex in  $X$ , and the point  $v$  itself.

The homology groups of any cone are the same as those of a point. To prove this we define the homomorphism  $d : C_q(CX) \rightarrow C_{q+1}(CX)$  which sends an oriented  $q$ -simplex  $\sigma = [v_0, \dots, v_q]$  in  $CX$  to  $[v, v_0, \dots, v_q]$  if  $\sigma$  is contained in  $X$ , or 0 otherwise. We can check that this is a well-defined homomorphism. Then we show that

$$(\partial \circ d)(\sigma) = \sigma - (d \circ \partial)(\sigma)$$

for any oriented  $q$ -simplex  $\sigma$  with  $q \geq 1$ . But then if  $z$  is any  $q$ -cycle in  $CX$  with  $q \geq 1$ ,

$$\partial(d(z)) = z - d(\partial(z)) = z - d(0) = z$$

and so  $z$  is a boundary. Hence  $H_q(CX) = 0$ .

Now since an  $(n+1)$ -simplex is just the cone on an  $n$ -simplex, we have that for any simplex  $X$ ,  $H_0(X) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H_i(X) = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$ .

## Homology groups of $S^n$

If  $n = 0$  then the homology groups of  $S^n$  are clearly  $H_0(S^0) = \mathbb{Z}^2$ ,  $H_i(S^0) = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$ .

If  $n \geq 1$  then we may triangulate  $S^n$  in a simple way. Let  $\Delta$  denote the simplicial complex which is the union of all the faces of an  $(n+1)$ -simplex, and let  $\Sigma$  denote the same simplicial complex but without the single  $(n+1)$ -dimensional face. Then  $\Sigma$  is a triangulation of  $S^n$ . Now the chain complex of  $\Sigma$  is exactly the same as that of  $\Delta$ , except that we have  $C_{n+1}(\Sigma) = 0$ , whereas  $C_{n+1}(\Delta) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , generated by the single  $(n+1)$ -dimensional face.

Therefore, for  $i \leq (n-1)$  the homology groups  $H_i(\Delta)$  and  $H_i(\Sigma)$  are the same. But then we see that  $H_n(\Sigma)$  is the kernel of the map  $\partial : C_n(\Sigma) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(\Sigma)$ , which is also the kernel of  $\partial : C_n(\Delta) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(\Delta)$ . But by the exactness of the sequence for  $\Delta$ , this is just the image of  $\partial : C_{n+1}(\Delta) \rightarrow C_n(\Delta)$ , which is isomorphic to  $C_{n+1}(\Delta) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  as  $\partial$  is injective.



We will show that the homology groups of a space are independent of its triangulation, and hence we may conclude that

$$H_i(S^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^2 & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ and } i = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n \geq 1 \text{ and } i = 0 \text{ or } n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

### Induced homomorphisms

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a simplicial map. Then  $f$  determines a homomorphism

$$f_* : C_i(X) \longrightarrow C_i(Y)$$

by

$$f_*([x_0, \dots, x_i]) = \begin{cases} [f(x_0), \dots, f(x_i)] & \text{if } f(x_0), \dots, f(x_i) \text{ are all distinct} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can check that  $f_*$  is a chain map, that is, that

$$\partial \circ f_* = f_* \circ \partial$$

and so the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_{i+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_i(X) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_{i-1}(X) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow f_* & & \\ \cdots & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_{i+1}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_i(Y) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_{i-1}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \cdots \end{array}$$

It follows that  $f_*$  maps  $Z_i(X)$  into  $Z_i(Y)$ , and  $B_i(X)$  into  $B_i(Y)$ , and so  $f_*$  gives rise to a homomorphism, also denoted  $f_*$ , from  $H_i(X)$  to  $H_i(Y)$ .

Now it can be shown that barycentric subdivision of a complex does not change the homology groups (proof omitted — see Armstrong pp. 185–188). Thus if  $f : |X| \rightarrow |Y|$  is any continuous map then we may define a homomorphism

$$f_* : H_i(X) \rightarrow H_i(Y)$$

as the composition

$$H_i(X) \cong H_i(X^m) \xrightarrow{s_*} H_i(Y),$$

where  $s : X \rightarrow Y$  is some simplicial approximation to  $f$ . It can be shown that this is well-defined, independent of the choice of  $s$ , by showing that any two “close” simplicial maps give rise to the same homomorphisms of homology groups.

Functorial properties. Thus homology groups are invariant under homotopy equivalence.

### Applications

$S^m$  and  $S^n$  are not homotopy equivalent and thus  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are not homeomorphic if  $m \neq n$ . The Brouwer fixed-point theorem.

## The Mayer–Vietoris Sequence

Let  $X$  be a simplicial complex which is the union  $A \cup B$  of two subcomplexes. Then

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_i(A \cap B) \longrightarrow H_i(A) \oplus H_i(B) \longrightarrow H_i(X) \longrightarrow H_{i-1}(A \cap B) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

is a long exact sequence. What are the three homomorphisms?

- The homomorphism  $H_i(A \cap B) \rightarrow H_i(A) \oplus H_i(B)$  is the obvious pair of inclusions.
- The homomorphism  $H_i(A) \oplus H_i(B) \rightarrow H_i(X)$  is given by  $(x, y) \mapsto x - y$ .
- The homomorphism  $H_i(X) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(A \cap B)$  is the “boundary map” constructed as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & C_i(A \cap B) & \longrightarrow & C_i(A) \oplus C_i(B) & \longrightarrow & C_i(X) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \partial & & \downarrow \partial & & \downarrow \partial & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C_{i-1}(A \cap B) & \longrightarrow & C_{i-1}(A) \oplus C_{i-1}(B) & \longrightarrow & C_{i-1}(X) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

For any element of  $H_i(X)$ , take a representative cycle  $z \in Z_i(X)$  and choose an element  $d \in C_i(A) \oplus C_i(B)$  which maps to  $z$ . Then the equivalence class of  $z$  maps to the equivalence class of the (unique) inverse image  $c \in Z_{i-1}(A \cap B)$  for  $\partial(d)$ .